# **REPRESENTATIONS OF GL(n) OVER A p-ADIC FIELD WITH AN IWAHORI-FIXED VECTOR**

**BY** 

JONATHAN D. ROGAWSKI *Department of Mathematics, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, USA* 

#### ABSTRACT

An elementary proof of Zelevinsky's classification for representations of  $GL(n)$ with an Iwahori-fixed vector is given using the theory of Hecke algebras.

Let G be the group of F-rational points of a connected, reductive, algebraic group over a p-adic field and let I be an Iwahori subgroup of G. The Hecke algebra  $\mathcal X$  of compactly supported functions on G which are right and left invariant under  $\vec{l}$  is a finitely-generated algebra which can be given explicitly in terms of generators and relations. It is also known that there is an equivalence between the category of admissible representations of G which are generated by their spaces of I-fixed vectors and the category of finite-dimensional  $\mathcal{H}$ -modules (this is a theorem of Bernstein, Borel, and Matsumoto). Therefore a special class of representations of  $G$  can be approached through the study of the explicity given algebra  $\mathcal{H}$ .

In this paper we give a proof of the classification theorems for irreducible  $\mathcal{H}$ -modules for the case  $G = GL_n(F)$  using the methods developed in [4]. The results proved here are special cases of results of A. Zelevinsky ([5]) on representations of  $GL_n(F)$ . However, Zelevinsky's proofs make essential use of the group  $GL_n(F)$ , whereas the methods used here refer only to the algebra  $\mathcal{H}$ . Thus, the parameter q which enters into the defining relations of  $\mathcal X$  is constrained to be a power of a prime in Zelevinsky's work, while the proofs given here apply to more general values of q. Nevertheless, many ideas used here come from Zelevinsky's work.

Received March 17, 1985 and in revised form June 10, 1985

Since the completion of this paper, some very important work of Lusztig, Kazhdan and Lusztig, and Ginsburg has appeared in pre-print form. This work, motivated by conjectures of Lusztig, gives a K-theoretic approach to the construction of irreducible modules over Hecke algebras for general groups. The reader is also referred to recent pre-prints of Howe, Waldspurger-Moeglin, and Waldspurger, which deal with generalized Hecke algebras associated to supercuspidal representations.

Throughout this paper, F denotes a p-adic field with ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}$ . Let  $\pi$ be a fixed prime element in F and let G denote  $GL_n(F)$ . Let  $q = Card(\mathcal{O}/(\pi))$ .

## §1. **The Hecke algebra for G**

The symmetric group  $S_n$  will be denoted by W and the set of generating transpositions  $\{s_1, \ldots, s_{n-1}\}\$ , with  $s_i = (j, j + 1)$ , will be denoted by S. The pair  $(W, S)$  is a Coxeter group and its associated Hecke algebra is the C-algebra with generators  $\{T_w : w \in W\}$  and relations:

*T~Tv = T~v* if l(xy) = *l(x)+* l(y), *T2~=(q-1)T~j+q, j=l ..... n-1* 

where  $l: W \rightarrow Z^+$  is the length function on W relative to S. This algebra will be denoted by  $\mathcal{H}_w$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A} = C[x_1, \ldots, x_n, x_1^{-1}, \ldots, x_n^{-1}]$  be the algebra of Laurent polynomials in  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ . The group W acts on A by permuting the variables:  $wx_i = x_{w(i)}$ . Let H be the algebra generated by  $\mathcal{H}_w$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  subject to the relations:

$$
x_i T_{s_j} = T_{s_j} x_i \quad \text{if } |i - j| > 1,
$$
  
\n
$$
x_i T_{s_i} = T_{s_i} x_{i+1} - (q - 1) x_{i+1},
$$
  
\n
$$
x_{i+1} T_{s_i} = T_{s_i} x_i + (q - 1) x_{i+1}.
$$

Every element of  $\mathcal X$  has unique expressions of the form

$$
T=\sum_{w\in W}a_wT_w=\sum_{w\in W}a'_w
$$

for some  $a_{\omega}$ ,  $a'_{\omega} \in \mathcal{A}$ .

By a theorem of Bernstein and Zelevinsky,  $\mathcal X$  is isomorphic to the Hecke algebra of  $G$  with respect to an Iwahori subgroup. More precisely, let

$$
I = \{(g_{ij}) \in GL_n(\mathcal{O}) : g_{ij} \in \pi\mathcal{O} \text{ if } i > j\}
$$

be the standard Iwahori subgroup of G and let  $C_c(G)/I$ ) denote the algebra of compactly supported functions f and G such that  $f(xgy) = f(g)$  for all  $g \in G$  and  $x_1y \in I$ . The product of two such functions  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  is given by convolution:

$$
f_1 * f_2(g) = \int_G f_1(h) f_2(h^{-1}g) dh
$$

where *dh* is the Haar measure on G such that meas( $I$ ) = 1.

THEOREM 1.1 (Bernstein–Zelevinsky). *The algebra*  $\mathcal H$  *is isomorphic to*  $C_c(G)/I$ ).

A similar description, also due to Bernstein and Zelevinsky, of Hecke algebras of more general group is also valid. Proofs can be found in [2].

Let  $\mathscr{C} = \text{Hom}(\mathscr{A}, \mathbb{C})$ . A character  $\chi \in \mathscr{C}$  will be identified with a sequence  $\chi = [\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_n]$  of non-zero complex numbers defined by:  $\chi(x_i) = \chi_1$ . The group W acts on  $\mathscr C$  in the usual way:  $w_x(x) = \chi(w^{-1}(x))$  for  $w \in W$ ,  $\chi \in \mathscr C$ , and  $x \in \mathscr A$ .

To each partition  $(n_1, ..., n_n)$  of n we associate a subset T of S as follows:  $s_i \in T$  if  $j \neq \sum_{k=1}^l n_k$  for all  $l = 1, ..., t$ . Let  $W_T$  denote the (parabolic) subgroup of W generated by T and let  $\mathcal{H}_T$  denote the subalgebra of H generated by A and  ${T_{\mathbf{w}} : \mathbf{w} \in W_T}$ . Then  $\mathcal{H}_T$  is clearly isomorphic to the Hecke algebra (with respect to an Iwahori subgroup) of  $GL_{n}(F) \times \cdots \times GL_{n}(F)$ . Denote the longest element in  $W_T$  by  $w_T$ .

### §2. *H*-Modules

Let  $\mathcal M$  denote the category of finite-dimensional  $\mathcal X$ -modules. Throughout, all  $\mathcal{H}$ -modules will be assumed finite-dimensional.

For  $M \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $\psi \in \mathcal{C}$ , set:

$$
M_{\psi} = \{ m \in M : xm = \psi(x)m \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{A} \},
$$
  

$$
M_{\psi}^{\text{gen}} = \{ m \in M : (x - \psi(x))'m = 0 \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{A}, \text{ some } t \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \},
$$
  

$$
P(M) = \{ \psi \in \mathcal{C} : M_{\psi} \neq 0 \}.
$$

Elements of  $P(M)$  will be called weights of M. We have:

$$
M=\bigoplus_{\psi\in P(M)}M_{\psi}^{\text{gen}}.
$$

For each  $\chi \in \mathcal{C}$ , we define an  $\mathcal{H}$ -module  $I(\chi)$  explicitly as follows As a basis for  $I(x)$ , we take elements  $\phi_w$  for  $w \in W$  and let  $\mathcal{H}_w$  act on  $I(x)$  by the left regular representation:  $\phi_w = T_w \phi_1$ ,  $T_w \phi_y = T_w T_y \phi_1$ , for y,  $w \in W$ . The action of

 $\mathcal A$  on  $I(\chi)$  is uniquely determined by the condition:  $x\phi_1 = \chi(x)\phi_1$  for all  $x \in \mathcal A$ . By the relations between  $\mathcal{H}_w$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  given in §1, for all  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $w \in W$  there are unique elements  $a_{v,w,x} \in \mathcal{A}$  such that

$$
xT_w = T_w w^{-1}(x) + \sum_{y < w} T_y a_{y,w,x}
$$

where  $\leq$  denotes the Bruhat order on W with respect to S. In  $I(\chi)$ , therefore

$$
x\phi_w = \chi(w^{-1}(x))\phi_w + \sum_{y \leq w} \chi(a_{y,w,x})\phi y.
$$

It will be convenient to identify the underlying space of  $I(\chi)$  with  $\mathcal{H}_w$  (via  $\phi_w \leftrightarrow T_w$ ); this should not cause any confusion.

For  $\chi \in \mathscr{C}$ , let  $W_x = \{w_x : w \in W\}$  denote the W-orbit of  $\chi$ . As noted in [4],  $P(I(\chi)) = W\chi$  and an irreducible *H*-module *M* is a quotient of  $I(\chi)$  if and only if  $\chi \in P(M)$ .

We now recall some notation and results from [4]. For  $w \in W$ , let  $C_w$  and  $C_w$ denote the Kazhdan-Lusztig elements of  $\mathcal{H}_w$  associated to w. These elements of the Hecke algebra are defined in [1]. For  $\chi = [\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_n] \in \mathcal{C}$ , set

$$
m_{s_i}(\chi) = q^{1/2} \left( \frac{\chi_i - q^{-1} \chi_{i+1}}{\chi_i - \chi_{i+1}} \right),
$$
  

$$
A_{s_i}(\chi) = m_{s_i}(\chi) + C_{s_i} = m_{s_i}(s_i \chi) + C'_{s_i}
$$

 $(m_{s_i}(\chi))$  and  $A_{s_i}(\chi)$  are defined only if  $\chi_i \neq \chi_{i+1}$ . For  $w \in W$  with reduced decomposition  $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_k}$  ( $s_{i_i} \in S$ ), set

$$
A_{\mathbf{w}}(\chi)=A_{s_{i_1}}(s_{i_2}\cdots s_{i_k}\chi)\cdots A_{s_{i_{m-1}}}(s_{i_m}\chi)A_{s_{i_m}}(\chi).
$$

Then  $A_w(\chi)$  is an element of  $\mathcal{H}_w$  whose coefficients with respect to a basis depend rationally on  $\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_n$  and it does not depend on the choice of reduced decomposition of w. Whenever  $A_w(\chi)$  is defined,  $A_w(\chi)M_\chi \subseteq M_{w_\chi}$  for all  $M \in \mathcal{M}$ and, viewed as an element of  $I(\chi)$ ,  $A_{\nu}(\chi) \in I(\chi)_{\nu_{\nu}}$ . Furthermore,  $A_{\nu}(\chi)$  exists and is invertible whenever  $m_{s_i}(s_{i_{i+1}} \cdots s_{i_k} \chi) \neq 0$ ,  $\infty$  for  $j = 1, ..., k$ .

Following Zelevinsky ([5]), a sequence of the form  $\Delta = [q^{a-1}z, q^{a-2}z, \dots, z]$ with  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$  is called a *segment*. Set  $|\Delta| = a$  and let  $\tilde{\Delta} =$  $[z, qz, ..., q^{a-1}z].$ 

Let  $(n_1, ..., n_t)$  be a partition of n and let T be the subset of S associated to the partition as in §1. Let  $\Phi = {\{\Delta_1, ..., \Delta_k\}}$  be a collection of segments such that  $|\Delta_i| = n_i$  and let  $\chi(\Phi) = (\Delta_1, ..., \Delta_k)$  and  $\tilde{\chi}(\Phi) = (\tilde{\Delta}_1, ..., \tilde{\Delta}_k)$  denote the elements of  $\mathscr C$  obtained by juxtaposing the  $\Delta_i$  and  $\tilde{\Delta}_i$ , respectively. Let  $I(\Phi)$  denote the

246 J.D. ROGAWSKI Isr. J. Math.

$$
P(I(\Phi)) = \{ w\chi(\Phi) : w \in W, l(ww_T) = l(w) + l(w_T) \}.
$$

We call  $C_{w\tau}$  the canonical generator of  $I(\Phi)$ . The  $\mathcal H$ -module  $I(\Phi)$  corresponds to a representation of  $G$  obtained by inducing from a parabolic subgroup of type  $(n_1, \ldots, n_i)$ , a product of special representations of the Levi factor  $GL_{n}(F)$  ×  $\cdots \times GL_{n}(F)$ . It will be convenient to also use  $\Delta_1 \times \cdots \times \Delta_r$  to denote  $I(\Phi)$ . Furthermore, set:

$$
W(T) = \{ w \in W : l(ww_T) = l(w) + l(w_T) \}.
$$

## **§3. The classification theorems**

We state the theorems which give the classsification of irreducible  $\mathcal{H}\text{-modules}$ in this section. This will require some preliminary definitions.

DEFINITION 3.1. (i) For  $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$ , let  $L_z$  denote the set of sequences of the form  $[\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_m]$  such that  $\chi_i = zq^{a_i}$  for some  $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , for  $j = 1, \ldots, m$ , and any m. The set  $L_z$  will be called a line.

(ii) Let  $\Delta_1$  and  $\Delta_2$  be segments in the same line  $L_z$ , say

$$
\Delta_1 = [q^{a+l-1}z, \ldots, q^a z], \qquad \Delta_2 = [q^{b+m-1}z, \ldots, q^b z].
$$

We will say that  $\Delta_i$  precedes  $\Delta_2$  if either  $a+l-1 < b+m-1$  or if  $a+l-1=$  $b + m - 1$  and  $a \leq b$ . We will say that  $\Delta_1$  and  $\Delta_2$  are *linked* if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

$$
a+l-1 \geq b+m \geq a > b \quad \text{or} \quad b+m-1 \geq a+l \geq b > a.
$$

If  $\Delta_1$  and  $\Delta_2$  are linked and  $\Delta_1$  precedes  $\Delta_2$ , set  $\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2 = [q^{b+m-1},..., q^a]$  and  $\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2 = [q^{a+t-1},..., q^b z]$ , and if  $\Delta_1$  and  $\Delta_2$  are linked but  $\Delta_2$  precedes  $\Delta_1$ , set  $\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2 = \Delta_2 \cap \Delta_1$  and  $\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2 = \Delta_2 \cup \Delta_1$ .

(iii) We put a partial order on  $L<sub>z</sub>$  as follows. Let  $\chi = [q^{a_1}z, ..., q^{a_l}z], \chi' =$  $[q^{b_1}z, ..., q^{b_m}z] \in L_z$  and define  $\chi > \chi'$  if  $l = m$  and the sequence  $(a_1, ..., a_l)$  is lexicographically bigger than  $(b_1, ..., b_k)$ , i.e., for some k,  $a_i = b_i$  for  $j < k$  and  $a_k > b_k$ .

(iv) A character  $\chi \in \mathscr{C}$  will be called *reduced* if it is of the form  $(\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_r)$ where the  $\psi_i$  are sequences belonging to distinct lines. Call  $\chi = (\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_t)$  the decomposition of  $\chi$  into lines.

Furthermore,

For  $\chi \in \mathcal{C}$ , let  $\mathcal{I}(\chi)$  be the set of irreducible constituents of  $I(\chi)$ . As shown in [4], for  $\mathcal{I}(\chi_1)=\mathcal{I}(\chi_2)$  if  $\chi_1,\chi_2\in\mathcal{C}$  and  $\chi_1\in W_{\chi_2}$ , while  $\mathcal{I}(\chi_1)\cap\mathcal{I}(\chi_2)=\emptyset$ otherwise. Since every irreducible  $\mathcal{H}\text{-module}$  is a constituent of  $\mathcal{I}(\chi)$  for some  $\chi \in \mathscr{C}$ , it will suffice to describe the sets  $\mathscr{I}(\chi)$  for  $\chi$  a representative of a given W-orbit in  $\mathscr{C}$ .

Therefore, *we fix a character*  $\eta \in \mathscr{C}$  for the rest of the paper. We assume that  $\eta$ is reduced with line decomposition  $\eta = (\eta^1, ..., \eta^r)$ . Suppose that  $\eta^j$  is a sequence of length  $m_i$ , so that  $(m_1, ..., m_t)$  is a partition of n. Let T be the subset of S associated to this partition and set  $\mathcal{O}(\eta) = \{w_n : w \in W_T\}$ . We put a total order on  $\mathcal{O}(\eta)$  as follows. If  $\chi_i = (\chi_i^1, \ldots, \chi_i^r)$ , for  $j = 1, 2$ , are line decompositions of elements of  $\mathcal{O}(\eta)$ , then  $\chi_1 > \chi_2$  if for some  $k, \chi_1^i = \chi_2^i$  for  $i < k$  and  $\chi_1^k > \chi_2^k$ .

If  $\chi \in \mathscr{C}$ , there is a unique sequence of segments  $\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_r$  such that  $\chi =$  $(\Delta_1, ..., \Delta_r)$  and r is as small as possible. Call  $(\Delta_1, ..., \Delta_r)$  the decomposition of  $\chi$ into segments.

DEFINITION 3.2. A character  $\chi \in \mathcal{O}(\eta)$  with segment decomposition  $(\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_r)$  is called *min-reduced* if  $\Delta_i$  precedes  $\Delta_{i+1}$  for all i such that  $\Delta_i$  and  $\Delta_{i+1}$ lie on the same line. Let  $M\mathcal{O}(\eta)$  denote the set of min-reduced elements in  $\mathcal{O}(\eta)$ .

The set  $M\mathcal{O}(\eta)$  inherits a total order from  $\mathcal{O}(\eta)$ . We also define a partial order on the set of collections of segments  $\Phi = {\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_t}$ . Let  $\leq$  be the partial order generated by the relations  $\Phi' \leq \Phi$  where  $\Phi'$  is obtained from  $\Phi$  by replacing two linked segments  $\Delta_i, \Delta_j \in \Phi$  by  $\Delta_i \cap \Delta_j$  and  $\Delta_i \cup \Delta_j$ .

The remaining sections of the paper will be devoted to proving the following theorems.

THEOREM 3.3. Let  $\chi \in MO(\eta)$  have segment decomposition  $\chi = (\Delta_1, ..., \Delta_r)$ *and let*  $\Phi = {\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_r}$ . *Then I(* $\Phi$ *) has a unique irreducible quotient M and*  $\chi \leq \psi$ *for all*  $\psi \in P(M) \cap \mathcal{O}(\eta)$  *under the total order*  $\leq$  *on*  $\mathcal{O}(\eta)$ *.* 

THEOREM 3.4. (1) Let  $M \in \mathcal{I}(\eta)$ . *Then*  $P(M) \cap \mathcal{O}(\eta) \neq \emptyset$  and the unique *minimal element*  $\chi_M \in P(M) \cap \mathcal{O}(\eta)$  (for the order  $\leq$ ) lies in M $\mathcal{O}(\eta)$ .

(2) *The map:* 

$$
\mathcal{I}(\eta) \to M\mathcal{O}(\eta)
$$

$$
M \to \chi_M
$$

*is a bijection.* 

**THEOREM** 3.5. Let  $\chi, \psi' \in M\mathcal{O}(\eta)$ . Let  $\chi = (\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_r)$  be the segment decom*position of*  $\chi$  *and set*  $\Phi = {\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_r}$ . Let M be the irreducible H-module *corresponding to*  $\psi'$  *by Theorem 3.4. Let*  $\psi' = (\Delta'_1, ..., \Delta'_s)$  *be the segment decomposition of*  $\psi'$ *, and set*  $\Phi' = {\Delta'_1, \ldots, \Delta'_i}$ *. Then M is a constituent of I(* $\Phi$ *) if and only* if  $\Phi' \leq \Phi$ .

§4. In this section we show that the proofs of Theorems 3.3, 3.4, and 3.5 can be reduced to the case that  $n$  lies in a line.

Let  $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbf{w}}$  be the subalgebra of W-invariants in  $\mathcal{A}$ . By a theorem of Bernstein (see [3] for a proof),  $\mathcal{A}^W$  is the center of W. The characters of  $\mathcal{A}^W$  correspond to W-orbits in  $\mathcal{A}$ . For  $[\chi] = \{w_x : w \in W\}$  a W-orbit in  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $M \in \mathcal{M}$ , set

$$
M[\chi] = \{ m \in M : (a - \chi(a))^t m = 0 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{A}^W, \text{ some } t \in \mathbb{Z}^* \}.
$$

It is clear that  $M[\chi]$  is  $\mathcal{H}$ -stable and that

$$
M=\bigoplus_{x\in\mathcal{C}/W}M[\chi].
$$

If T is a subset of S, then it follows that the center of  $\mathcal{H}_T$  is the subalgebra  $\mathcal{A}^{w_T}$ of  $W_T$ -invariants in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Hence for all  $\chi \in \mathcal{C}/W_T$ , the space

$$
M[\chi, T] = \{ m \in M : (a - \chi(a))^t m = 0 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{A}^{w_T}, \text{ some } t \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \}
$$

is  $\mathcal{H}_T$ -stable and

$$
M=\bigoplus_{\chi\in\Psi/W_T}M[\chi,T].
$$

Let  $M \in \mathcal{M}$  satisfy  $P(M) \subset W_{\eta}$ . Set

$$
M_{\rm red} = \bigoplus_{\chi \in \mathcal{O}(\eta)} M_{\chi}^{\rm gen}.
$$

By the results of the previous paragraph,  $M_{\text{red}}$  is an  $\mathcal{H}_T$  submodule of M, where T is the subset associated with the partition  $(m_1, ..., m_t)$  defined by  $\eta$  (see §3). This follows because  $\mathcal{O}(\eta) = W_T \eta$ . Thus we have a map of *H*-modules:

$$
f: \mathscr{H} \underset{\mathscr{H}_T}{\bigoplus} M_{\text{red}} \to M,
$$

$$
T \otimes m \to Tm.
$$

PROPOSITION 4.1. *The map f is an isomorphism.* 

PROOF. The functor  $M \rightarrow M_{\text{red}}$  from  $\mathcal{H}$ -modules to  $\mathcal{H}_T$ -modules is exact. The functor  $N \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}} N$  from  $\mathcal{H}_T$ -modules to  $\mathcal{H}$ -modules is also exact and we have:

$$
\dim(\mathcal{H}\underset{\varkappa_T}{\otimes}N)=\left(\dim(N)\right)|W/W_T|,
$$

since  $\mathscr{H} \otimes_{\varkappa_r} N \to \mathscr{H}_w \otimes_{\varkappa_{w-r}} N$  as vector spaces. It therefore suffices to prove the proposition for M irreducible. If M is irreducible, it will follow that f is an isomorphism if we prove that

$$
(*) \qquad \dim(M) = \dim(M_{\text{red}}) |W/W_T|.
$$

Let  $\{w_1, \ldots, w_i\}$  be the set of representatives for  $W/W_T$  such that for all  $j=1,...,l$ ,  $l(w_iz) \leq l(w_i)$  for all  $z \in W_T$ . Then  $W_\eta = \{w_i \phi : \phi \in \mathcal{O}(\eta), i=1\}$ 1,...,l}. To prove (\*), it will suffice to show that  $\dim(M_{\phi}^{gen}) = \dim(M_{\nu,\phi}^{gen})$  for all  $j = 1, \ldots, l$ . This follows easily from the next lemma.

LEMMA 4.2. Let  $M \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\chi \in \mathcal{C}$ , and suppose that  $\chi_i \neq q^{\pm 1}\chi_{i+1}$ . Then  $\dim(M_{x}^{gen}) = \dim(M_{s,x}^{gen}).$ 

**PROOF.** Let  $\mathcal{H}_2$  be the subalgebra of  $\mathcal{H}$  generated by  $T_{s_i}$ ,  $x_j^{\pm 1}$ , and  $x_{j+1}^{\pm 1}$ . The subspace  $M^{gen}_{x} \oplus M^{gen}_{y}$  is stable under  $\mathcal{H}_2$  and, as an  $\mathcal{H}_2$ -module, all of its constituents are constituents of the  $\mathcal{H}_2$ -module  $I(\chi')$ , where  $\chi' = [\chi_i, \chi_{i+1}]$ . If  $\chi_j \neq q^{\pm 1}\chi_{j+1}$ , then  $I(\chi')$  is irreducible ([4], Corollary 3.2) and the lemma follows.

Proposition 4.1 shows that for the proofs of the theorems of §3, it is sufficient to look at the case where  $\eta$  lies in a line.

## **§5. Analysis of the product of two segments**

We begin with a lemma concerning the case  $n = 3$ .

LEMMA 5.1. Let  $n = 3$  and let  $\chi = [1,1,q] \in \mathcal{C}$ . Then  $\mathcal{I}(\chi)$  consists of two *irreducible modules of dimension three• The weight* [1, q, 1] *occurs with multiplicity one in each of them. One of them contains*  $\chi$  *with multiplicity two and the other contains* [q, 1,1] *with multiplicity two.* 

**PROOF.** Let  $\Phi = \{[1], [q,1]\}$ . Then  $I(\Phi)$  is a three-dimensional submodule of  $I(\chi)$ , contains the weight [1,q, 1] with multiplicity one, and the weight [q, 1, 1] with multiplicity two. The lemma follows immediately if we show that  $I(\chi)$ contains no one-dimensional constituents. However, using the relations defining  $\mathcal{H}$ , it is easy to show that (for any n), if  $\tau: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a character, then the restriction of  $\tau$  to  $\mathcal A$  is of the form  $(\Delta)$  or  $(\tilde{\Delta})$  for  $\Delta$  a segment of length n.

For the rest of this section, let  $\Delta_1 = [q^{a+l-1},..., q^a]$  and  $\Delta_2 = [q^{b+m-1},..., q^b]$  be segments of length l and m, respectively, such that  $l + m = n$ . Let  $T = \{s_i : j \neq l\}$ be the subset of S associated to the partition  $(l, m)$  of n. Let  $M = \Delta_1 \times \Delta_2$  and  $N = \Delta_2 \times \Delta_1$ , and set  $\chi = (\Delta_1, \Delta_2)$ ,  $\chi' = (\Delta_2, \Delta_1)$ .

PROPOSITION 5.2. If  $\Delta_1$  and  $\Delta_2$  are not linked, then M is irreducible and is *isomorphic to N.* 

**PROOF.** By the results of §6 of [4], there is a non-zero map from M to N. Hence it will suffice to prove the irreducibility of  $M$  or  $N$ .

We may assume that  $\Delta_1 \subseteq \Delta_2$  or  $\Delta_2 \subseteq \Delta_1$ , for if this is not the case and if  $\Delta_1$  and  $\Delta_2$  are not linked, then the weight spaces of M are all one-dimensional (see proposition 4.5 of [4]) and the operators  $A_w(\chi)$  are invertible for all  $w \in W(T)$ . The irreducibility of M then follows immediately.

In §3 of [4], a character  $\psi$  was defined to be special if its stabilizer in W is of the form  $W_T$ , for some subset  $T' \subseteq S$ . By theorem 3.1 of [4], if  $\psi$  is special, then dim  $R_{\psi} \le 1$  for any submodule R of  $I(\psi')$  for any  $\psi' \in W\psi$ . It is easy to see that *P(M)* contains a unique special weight  $\psi_0 \in W(T)_X$ . The irreducibility of M is thus a consequence of the following two facts:

(a) If L is a non-zero submodule of M, then  $L_{\psi_0} \neq 0$ , and hence  $L_{\psi_0} = M_{\psi_0}$ .

(b) M is generated by  $M_{\psi_0}$ .

We first prove (b). Set  $\Delta_1 = [q^{a+l-2}, ..., q^a]$  and  $\Delta_2 = [q^{b+m-1}, ..., q^{m+1}]$ . Since we are free to interchange  $\Delta_1$  and  $\Delta_2$  (it suffices to prove the irreducibility of M or N), we may assume that either  $\Delta_1 \supseteq \Delta_2$ , or  $\Delta_1 = \Delta_2$ , or  $\Delta_1 \subseteq \Delta_2^-$ . In the first two cases, let  $\mathcal{H}_{n-1}$  be the subalgebra of  $\mathcal H$  generated by the  $T_{s_i}$  with  $j > 1$  and  $x_2^{\pm 1},...,x_n^{\pm 1}$ . Under the action of  $\mathcal{H}_{n-1}$ , the canonical generator  $C_{w_T}$  of M generates an  $\mathcal{H}_{n-1}$ -module isomorphic to  $\Delta_1 \times \Delta_2$  and this subspace of M contains  $M_{\psi_0}$ . By induction on n,  $C_{w_T} \in \mathcal{H}_{n-1}M_{\psi_0}$ . The case  $\Delta_2^- \supseteq \Delta_1$  is similar.

For the proof of (a), we use the following lemma.

LEMMA 5.3. Let  $\chi \in \mathscr{C}$  and let M be a submodule of  $I(\chi)$ . Let  $w \in W$  and *assume that M~ contains an element of the form* 

$$
m=C_{\rm w}+\sum_{\rm y\geq {\rm w}}\alpha_{\rm y}C_{\rm y}\qquad (\alpha_{\rm y}\in{\rm C}).
$$

*Then for all s*  $\in$  *S* such that sw  $>$  w and sw<sub>x</sub>  $\neq$  *x*,  $M_{sw} \neq 0$  and contains an element *of the form:* 

$$
m' = C_{sw} + \sum_{y \geq sw} \alpha'_{y} C_{y} \qquad (\alpha_{y} \in C).
$$

**PROOF.** If  $sw_x \neq w_x$ , then  $A_x(w_x)$  is defined and it will suffice to show that  $A_s(w_x)m \neq 0$ . We have  $A_s(w_x) = m_s(w_x) + C_s$  and hence

$$
A_s(w_x)m=C_sC_w+\sum_{y\geq w}\alpha_yC_sC_y+m_s(w_x)m.
$$

From the multiplication rules for  $C_sC_w$  (see [1] or [4]), it follows that  $A_s(w_x)m$  is of the form

$$
C_{\rm sw} + \sum_{y \not\geq s}{\alpha}'_y C_y
$$

if  $sw > w$ .

For the proof of (a), we assume that  $\Delta_1 \supseteq \Delta_2$ ; the case  $\Delta_1 \subseteq \Delta_2$  is similar.

To prove (a), we use the following notation. Write  $\Delta_1 = [q^{a+l-1},..., q^a]$  and  $\Delta_2 = [q^{b+m-1},..., q^b]$ . A character  $\psi = w_x$  for some  $w \in W(T)$  will be written typically as

$$
\psi = [q^{i_1}, q^{i_2}, q^{i_3}, \ldots, q^{i_n}].
$$

Here the location of the bars below the powers of  $q$  determine uniquely the element  $w \in W(T)$  such that  $\psi = w_x$  because  $W(T)$  consists of permutations such that  $w^{-1}$  preserves the order between the elements within one of the  $\Delta_i$ (note that in general,  $w_x = [ \chi_{w^{-1}(1)}, \ldots, \chi_{w^{-1}(n)} ]$  if  $\chi = [ \chi_1, \ldots, \chi_n ]$ ).

Now let L be a non-zero submodule of M. We will say that  $w_x$  occurs in L for  $w \in W(T)$  if  $L_{w_v}$  contains an element of the form

$$
C_{w}+\sum_{y\neq w}\alpha_{y}C_{y}\qquad (\alpha_{y}\in\mathbf{C}).
$$

Our strategy is to start with any  $w_x$  occurring in L and use Lemma 5.3 and the operators  $A_s(w_x)$  to obtain  $L_{w_0} \neq 0$ . So assume that  $w_x$  occurs in L. If  $\chi_{w^{-1}(i)} =$  $q^{\gamma}w_{w^{-1}(j+1)}$  with  $\gamma < -1$ , then  $A_{s}(w_{x})$  is invertible and  $y_{x}$  occurs in L for some y such that  $y_x = s_i w_x$ . Hence we may assume that  $\chi_{w^{-1}(i)} = q^{\gamma} \chi_{w^{-1}(i+1)}$  with  $\gamma \ge -1$ for all *j*. We are concerned only with those *j* such that  $\gamma = -1$ , for if none exist, then  $w_x = \psi_0$  and we are done. Set  $d_i = \chi_{w^{-1}(i)}$ . If  $(d_i, d_{i+1}) = (q^{r-1}, q^r)$ , then  $s_j w > w$  and Lemma 5.3 implies that  $s_j w$  occurs in L. So we may assume that those j with  $\gamma = -1$  satisfy  $(d_i, d_{i+1}) = (q^{r-1}, q^r)$ . Here we use the fact that  $q^t$ (resp.  $q^l$ ) cannot precede  $q^k$  (resp.  $q^k$ ) if  $l < k$  because all  $w \in W(T)$  preserve order in the blocks  $\Delta_1, \Delta_2$ .

Consider the largest j such that  $\gamma = -1$ . For this j we have  $(d_i, d_{j+1}, d_{j+2}) =$  $(q^{r-1}, q^r, q^{r-1})$  since  $\Delta_1 \supseteq \Delta_2$ . Note that  $s_{j+1}w_x$  is not a weight of M since no subsequence of the form  $(q^{r-1}, q^{r-1}, q')$  occurs in any weight of M. Therefore Lemma 5.1 implies that  $s_iw_k$  is a weight of L; this is seen by considering the  $\mathcal{X}_3$ -submodule generated by  $L_{s,w}$ , where  $\mathcal{X}_3$  is the subalgebra of  $\mathcal X$  generated by  $T_{s_i}$ ,  $T_{s_{i+1}}$  and  $x_i^{\pm 1}$ ,  $x_{i+1}^{\pm 1}$ ,  $x_{i+3}^{\pm 1}$ . Now  $s_jw_x$  is "closer" to  $\psi_0$  than  $w_x$ . Continuing in this way we obtain that  $L_{\psi_0} \neq 0$ .

PROPOSITION 5.4. Assume that  $\Delta_1$  and  $\Delta_2$  are linked. Then M and N are *indecomposable. Up to multiples, there is a unique non-zero map*  $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ *.* 

(i) If  $\Delta_1$  precedes  $\Delta_2$ , then  $\text{Ker}(\phi)$  is isomorphic to  $(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2) \times (\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2)$  and M/ker( $\phi$ ) *is an irreducible H*-module which we will denote by  $\langle \Delta_1, \Delta_2 \rangle$ .

(ii) If  $\Delta$ <sub>2</sub> precedes  $\Delta$ <sub>1</sub>, then Ker( $\phi$ ) is isomorphic to  $\langle \Delta_2, \Delta_1 \rangle$  and M/ker( $\phi$ ) is *isomorphic to*  $(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2) \times (\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2)$ .

PROOF. Assume first that  $\Delta_1$  precedes  $\Delta_2$ . There is a unique  $w \in W(T)$  such that  $w_x = (\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2, \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2)$ . In particular, dim( $M_{w_x}$ ) = 1. By Lemma 4.6 of [4], there is a unique element  $m \in M_{w_v}$  of the form:

$$
m=C_{ww_T}+\sum_{\substack{z\leq ww_T\\zw_T^{-1}\in W(T)}}\alpha_zC_z.
$$

For  $j \neq |\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2|$ ,  $m_{s_i}(s_i w_x) = 0$  and hence  $A_{s_i}(w_x) = C'_{s_i}$ . For  $j \neq |\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2|$ ,  $|\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2| + |\Delta_2|$ ,  $s_j w_x$  is not a weight of M and hence  $C'_{s_j} m = 0$ . We will show below that  $C'_{s}m = 0$  for  $j = |\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2| + |\Delta_2|$ . Assuming this, we obtain, by proposition 4.5 of [4], a non-zero map from  $(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2) \times (\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2)$  to M which sends its canonical generator to m. Since  $(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2) \times (\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2)$  is irreducible by Proposition 5.2,  $m$  generates a submodule of  $M$  which is isomorphic to  $(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2) \times (\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2)$ . Let M' be the quotient *M/Hm*. It can be checked that all weights of M' occur with multiplicity one and that for all  $\psi \in P(M')$  and  $s \in S$ such that  $s\psi \in P(M)$ ,  $A_s(\psi)$  is invertible. It follows that M' is irreducible. In addition,  $M$  is indecomposable because  $M$  is generated by an element of weight  $\chi$  (its canonical generator), but the submodule  $M''$  of  $M$  isomorphic to  $(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2) \times (\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2)$  contains no elements of weight  $\chi$ . By the results of §6 of [4] (specifically, proposition 6.4 of [4], whose proof does not rely on the results of this paper), there are non-zero maps  $\phi : M \to N$  and  $\phi' : N \to M$ . Since N is generated by an element of weight  $\chi' = (\Delta_2, \Delta_1)$  and  $M_{\nu} \subset M''$ , M and N are not isomorphic. Parts (i) and (ii) follow. Finally, N is indecomposable because  $N_{x}$ . generates N by  $\chi'$  does not occur as a weight of the submodule of N isomorphic to M'.

It remains to verify that, in the above notation  $C'_{s,n} = 0$  for  $j =$  $|\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2| + |\Delta_2|$ . Note that  $s_i w \leq w$  for this j. By lemma 6.6 of [4],

$$
A_{s_i}(w_x)m=C'_{s_i}m=\sum \alpha'_iC_z
$$

for some  $\alpha'_{z} \in \mathbb{C}$ , where the sum is over  $z \neq s_{i}ww_{T}$ , ww<sub>r</sub> such that  $z \leq ww_{T}$  or  $sz < ww_T$  and  $zw_T^{-1} \in W(T)$ . For such *z*,  $zw_T^{-1}\chi \neq s_iw_x$  and hence  $A_{s_i}(w_x)m$ cannot have weight  $s_jw_x$  (this is obvious, for example, from the proof of lemma 4.6 of [4]). Therefore  $A_{s_i}(w_x)m = C'_{s_i}m = 0$ .

§6. In this section, we complete the proofs of Theorems 3.3, 3.4 and 3.5. By the results of §4, we may assume that  $\eta$  lies on a line and there is no loss of generality in assuming that  $\eta \in L_a$ . For  $\eta$  on a line,  $\mathcal{O}(\eta) = W\eta$  and thus the first assertion of part (i) of Theorem 3.4 is clear. For  $M \in \mathcal{I}(\eta)$ , let  $\chi_M$  be the unique minimal element of *P(M).* 

PROPOSITION 6.1. *For*  $M \in \mathcal{I}(\eta)$  and let  $\chi_M$  have a segment decomposition  $\chi_M = (\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_r)$ . *Then M is a quotient of*  $\Delta_1 \times \cdots \times \Delta_r$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $|\Delta_i| = n_i$  and let T be the subset of S associated to the partition  $(n_1, \ldots, n_i)$  of n. By definition of  $\chi_M$ ,  $s_i\chi_M \notin P(M)$  for all  $s_j \in T$ . Since  $m_{s_i}(s_i\chi_M)$  = 0 for all  $s_j \in T$ ,  $A_{s_i}(\chi_M) = C'_{s_i}$  and thus  $C'_{s_i}M_{\chi_M} = 0$  for all  $s_j \in T$ . The proposition follows from proposition 4.5 of [4].

PROPOSITION 6.2. *Let*  $M \in \mathcal{I}(\eta)$ . *Then*  $\chi_M$  is min-reduced.

**PROOF.** Let  $\chi_M$  have a segment decomposition  $\chi_M = (\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_r)$ , so that M is a quotient of  $\Delta_1 \times \cdots \times \Delta_r$  by Proposition 6.1. If  $\chi_M$  is not min-reduced, then  $\Delta_{i+1}$ precedes  $\Delta_i$  for some *j*. If  $\Delta_i$  and  $\Delta_{i+1}$  are not linked, then  $\Delta_i \times \Delta_{i+1}$  is isomorphic to  $\Delta_{i+1} \times \Delta_i$  by Proposition 5.2. Hence M is also a quotient of  $\Delta_1 \times \cdots \times \Delta_{i+1} \times$  $\Delta_i \times \cdots \times \Delta_i$  and  $(\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_{i+1}, \Delta_i, \ldots, \Delta_i)$  occurs as a weight of M. It is smaller than  $\chi_M$ , contradicting the minimality of  $\chi_M$ . If  $\Delta_i$  and  $\Delta_{i+1}$  are linked, then Proposition 5.4 shows that M is also a quotient of  $\Delta_1 \times \cdots \times (\Delta_j \cap \Delta_{j+1}) \times (\Delta_j \cup \Delta_{j+1}) \times \cdots \times$  $\Delta_t$  and again,  $(\Delta_1, ..., \Delta_j \cap \Delta_{j+1}, \Delta_j \cup \Delta_{j+1}, ..., \Delta_t)$  is smaller than  $\chi_M$  and occurs as **a** weight of **M.** 

LEMMA 6.3. Let  $\Delta = [q^{m-1},...,1]$  be a segment and let  $\Delta_i = [q^1,...,1]$  for  $j=0, ..., m-1$ . Let

$$
M = \Delta_j \times \Delta \times \cdots \times \Delta.
$$
  
*t*-times

*Then M is irreducible.* 

PROOF. Let  $\psi = (\Delta_i, \Delta, ..., \Delta)$ . Then  $\psi$  is the unique min-reduced weight in *P(M)*. Thus, if N is a non-zero irreducible submodule of M, then Propositions 6.1 and 6.2 imply that N is a quotient of M. The lemma will follow if we show that every non-zero element of  $M_*$  generates M. Let  $\psi'$  be the unique special weight in *P(M)*. By theorem 3.1 of [4], dim  $M_{\psi} = 1$ . If we show that  $M_{\psi}$ . generates M, it will follow that  $N_{\psi} \neq 0$ , hence  $N_{\psi} = M_{\psi}$  and again  $N = M$ , since N is a quotient of M. Using these two ways of establishing the lemma, we show that it follows by induction on  $n = j + 1 + tm$ . So assume the lemma holds for  $n-1$  and let  $\mathcal{H}_{n-1}$  be the subalgebra of  $\mathcal{H}$  generated by  $T_{s_1},..., T_{s_n}$  and  $x_2^{\pm 1},...,x_n^{\pm 1}$ . Let C be the canonical generator of M.

If  $0 \leq j < m-1$ , then  $\mathcal{H}_{n-1}C$  is an  $\mathcal{H}_{n-1}$ -submodule of M isomorphic to  $\Delta_{i-1} \times \Delta \times \cdots \times \Delta$  (let  $\Delta_{i-1} = \emptyset$  if  $j = 0$ ) and it contains  $M_{\psi}$ . By induction, each non-zero element of  $M_{\nu}$  generates  $\mathcal{H}_{n-1}C$  under the action of  $\mathcal{H}_{n-1}$  and hence generates M under H. If  $j = m - 1$ , then  $\mathcal{H}_{n-1}C$  contains an element of weight  $\psi'$ , hence  $\mathcal{H}_{n-1}C$  contains  $M_{\psi}$ . Again by induction,  $\mathcal{H}_{n-1}M_{\psi} = \mathcal{H}_{n-1}C$  and so  $M_{\psi}$ . generates M under  $\mathcal{H}$ .

PROPOSITION 6.4. Let  $\chi \in M\mathcal{O}(\eta)$  have a segment decomposition  $\chi =$  $(\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_t)$ . *Then*  $\Delta_1 \times \cdots \times \Delta_t$  has a unique irreducible quotient M and  $\chi_M = \chi$ .

PROOF. This first statement will follow if we show that every non-zero element in the x-weight space of  $\Delta_1 \times \cdots \times \Delta_r$  generates  $\Delta_1 \times \cdots \times \Delta_r$ , for then  $\Delta_1 \times \cdots \times \Delta_n$  has a unique maximal submodule (the submodule N which is maximal subject to the condition  $N_x = 0$ ). Let  $|\Delta_i| = n_i$  and let T be the subset of S associated to the partition  $(n_1, ..., n_t)$  of n. If  $z \in W(T)$  and  $z_x = \chi$ , then z can only act by changing equal segments  $\Delta_i$  amongst themselves. Let  $\mathcal{H}'$  be the subalgebra of  $\mathcal H$  generated by  $\mathcal A$  and the  $T_{s_i}$  for all j except those of the form  $j = \sum_{i=1}^{l} n_i$  for those l such that  $\Delta_l \neq \Delta_{l+1}$ . Then the x-weight space of  $\Delta_l \times \cdots \times$  $\Delta_t$  is contained in the  $\mathcal{H}'$ -submodule  $\mathcal{H}'C$ , where C is the canonical generator of  $\Delta_1 \times \cdots \times \Delta_k$ . The algebra  $\mathcal{H}'$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{H}_{m_1} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{H}_{m_k}$  for some partition  $(m_1, \dots, m_r)$  of n, where  $\mathcal{H}_{m_i}$  is the Hecke algebra for  $GL_{m_i}(F)$ . The  $\mathcal{H}'$ -module  $\mathcal{H}'C$  is isomorphic to the tensor product of  $\mathcal{H}_{m}$ -modules of the form  $\Delta \times \Delta \times$  $\cdots \times \Delta$ . By Lemma 6.3,  $\mathcal{H}'C$  is therefore an irreducible  $\mathcal{H}'$ -module. This proves the first statement and the second follows because  $\chi$  is the minimal element of  $P(\Delta_1 \times \cdots \times \Delta_t)$ .

Propositions 6.1, 6.2, and 6.4 complete the proofs of Theorems 3.3 and 3.4. It remains to prove Theorem 3.5.

For any  $\mathcal{H}\text{-module }M$ , define the formal character

$$
\operatorname{ch}(M) = \sum_{\chi \in \mathscr{C}} (\dim M_{\chi}^{\text{gen}}) \chi
$$

as an element of the integral group ring  $\mathbb{Z}[\mathscr{C}]$ , as in [4]. From Theorem 3.4, it follows that the set of irreducible factors in a composition series for  $M$  is uniquely determined by ch(M); one uses the fact that an irreducible  $\mathcal{X}\text{-module}$ N is uniquely determined by its minimal weight  $\chi_N$  and the partial order on the set of such weights.

From now on, we use the notation of the statement of Theorem 3.5. According

to theorem 6.5 of [4] (whose proof is independent of Theorem 3.5), there is a filtration  ${I(\Phi)^k}$  of  $I(\Phi)$  such that

$$
\sum_{k>0} \mathrm{ch}(I(\Phi)^k) = \sum_{\substack{i \leq j \\ \Delta_i \Delta_j \text{ linked}}} \mathrm{ch}(I(\Phi(i,j))).
$$

Here  $\Phi(i, j)$  denotes the collection of segments (in any order) obtained by replacing a linked pair of segments  $\Delta_i$  and  $\Delta_j$  in  $\Phi$  by  $\Delta_i \cap \Delta_j$  and  $\Delta_i \cup \Delta_j$ . It follows that M is a constituent of  $I(\Phi)$  whenever  $\Phi' \le \Phi$  by induction.

The only if part of Theorem 3.5 follows from Proposition 6.2 and the following purely combinatorial assertion: if a min-reduced character  $\psi'$  is a weight of  $I(\Phi)$ , then  $\Phi' \leq \Phi$  (in the notation of Theorem 3.5).

Let  $\psi''$  be a character with segment decomposition  $\psi'' = (\Delta''_1, \ldots, \Delta''_l)$ . Suppose that  $\Delta''_i = [q^{a+l-1},..., q^a]$  and  $\Delta''_{i+1} = [q^{b+k-1},..., q^b]$ . If  $a+l-1 = b+k-1$ , we will say that  $\Delta''_i$  and  $\Delta''_{i+1}$  have the same starting point. If the condition  $a + l - 1 \le b + k - 1$  is satisfied for all  $i = 1, ..., t-1$ , we will say that  $\psi''$  is semi-reduced.

Now weaken the assumption on  $\psi'$  and suppose only that  $\psi'$  is semi-reduced. We will show that  $\Phi' \le \Phi$  if  $\psi'$  occurs as a weight of  $I(\Phi)$ . Let  $\chi = [\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_n]$  and  $\psi' = [\chi_{i_1}, \ldots, \chi_{i_m}]$ . Then  $\psi'$  is obtained from  $\chi$  by permuting the  $\chi_j$  so that the order among entries of a segment  $\Delta_k$  is preserved. First consider the case that  $\Delta_t = \Delta'_1$ . Then by induction on n,  $\{\Delta'_2, ..., \Delta'_s\} \leq \{\Delta_2, ..., \Delta_r\}$  and hence  $\Phi' \leq \Phi$ .

Now let  $\Delta_1 = [\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_a]$  and  $\psi''$  be the character obtained from  $\psi'$  by moving the entries  $\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_a$  occurring among the  $\chi_{i_k}$  to the extreme left but preserving the order among the other entries. Thus  $\psi'' = [\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_a, \chi_i, \ldots, \chi_{i_{n-a}}]$  and  $\psi''$  is a also a semi-reduced weight of  $I(\Phi)$ . Let  $\psi'' = (\Delta''_1, \ldots, \Delta''_p)$  be the segment decomposition of  $\psi''$  and let  $\Phi'' = {\Delta''_1, \ldots, \Delta''_n}$ . Thus  $\Delta''_1 = \Delta_1$ . By the case considered in the previous paragraph,  $\Phi$ "  $\leq \Phi$ . It will therefore suffice to show that  $\Phi' \le \Phi''$ . We have that  $\psi'$  is obtained from  $\psi''$  by a permutation which preserves the order among the entries of  $(\Delta_2^{\prime\prime},...,\Delta_p^{\prime\prime})$ . It is easy to see that  $\Delta_1^{\prime\prime}$  can be decomposed into smaller segments,  $\Delta''_1 = (\Delta^1, ..., \Delta^k)$  so that  $\psi'$  is obtained from  $\psi''$  by inserting the  $\Delta^i$  consecutively among the  $\Delta''_i$ . Except possibly for  $\Delta^i$ , if  $(\Delta''_i, \Delta^i)$  occurs in  $\psi'$ , then  $(\Delta''_i, \Delta^i)$  is itself a segment. It follows easily that  $\Phi' \le \Phi''$ .

#### **REFERENCES**

1. D. Kazhdan and G. Lusztig, *Representations of Coxeter groups and Hecke algebras,*  Inventiones Math. 53 (1979), 165-184.

2. G. Lusztig, *Some examples of square -integrable representations of p-adic groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 277 (1983), 623-653.

3. G. Lusztig, *Singularities, character [ormulas, weight multiplicities,* in *Analyse et topologie sur les espaces singuliers,* Asterisque, Vol. 101-102, Soc. Math. France, 1983.

4. J. Rogawski, *On modules over the Hecke algebra of a p-adic group,* Inventiones Math. 79, Fasc. 3 (1985), 443-465.

5. A. Zelevinsky, *Induced representations of reductive p-adic groups II*, Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Sup., 4e serie, 13 (1980), 165-210.